

CANONICAL ANALYSIS OF RADIATING ATMOSPHERES OF STARS IN EQUILIBRIUM *

ZOLTÁN KOVÁCS^{†‡}, LÁSZLÓ Á. GERGELY[‡] and ZSOLT HORVÁTH[‡]

[†] *Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie,
Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany*

[‡] *Departments of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, University of Szeged,
Dóm tér 9, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary*

zkovacs@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de, gergely@physx.u-szeged.hu, zshorvath@titan.physx.u-szeged.hu

The spherically symmetric, static spacetime generated by a cross-flow of non-interacting null dust streams can be conveniently interpreted as the radiation atmosphere of a star, which also receives exterior radiation. Formally, such a superposition of sources is equivalent to an anisotropic fluid. Therefore, there is a preferred time function in the system, defined by this reference fluid. This internal time is employed as a canonical coordinate, in order to linearize the Hamiltonian constraint. This turns to be helpful in the canonical quantization of the geometry of the radiating atmosphere.

Keywords: canonical gravity, spherical symmetry, null dust

The quantum theory of gravitational collapse motivated many authors to study models with both in- and outgoing thin null dust shells in a spherically symmetric geometry. Such models can equally apply for other phenomena, like radiative domains around stars in thermodynamical equilibrium. The model of a radiative stellar atmosphere composed of two null dust streams provides good prospects for carrying out a complete canonical analysis and quantization. We present here an overview of the Hamiltonian description of two cross-streaming radiation fields with spherical symmetry and the first steps towards the Dirac quantization of this constrained Hamiltonian system.

Letelier demonstrated that the energy-momentum tensor of two superimposed, counter-propagating radiation fields is equivalent to the energy-momentum tensor of a specific anisotropic fluid.¹ Based on this algebraic equivalence we have recently shown that the dynamics derived by extremizing the matter Lagrangians of these two models are the same.² For the purpose of canonical analysis the two cross-flowing radiation fields can therefore be substituted with a single anisotropic fluid (with radial pressure equaling the energy density and no tangential pressures).

The equivalence with the fluid model is crucial for our purposes since earlier works on the Hamiltonian formalism of two cross-flowing radiation fields with spherical symmetric geometry, although achieving important results, could not solve the problem of the absence of an internal time.³ The possibility of replacing the two-

* Research supported by OTKA grants no. T046939 and TS044665, the János Bolyai Fellowships of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the Pierre Auger grant 05 CU 5PD1/2 via DESY/BMF and the EU Erasmus Collaboration between the University of Szeged and the University of Bonn. Z.K. and L.Á.G. thank the organizers of the 11th Marcel Grossmann Meeting for support.

2 *Kovács, Gergely, Horváth*

component null dust with an anisotropic fluid raises the possibility to introduce the proper time as an internal time in the Hamiltonian formalism, in analogy with the case of the incoherent dust.⁴

We foliate the static and spherically symmetric geometry by the spherically symmetric leaves Σ_t labelled by the parameter time t :

$$ds^2 = -(N - \Lambda N^{r^2})dt^2 - \Lambda^2 N^r 2dt dr + \Lambda^2 dr^2 + R^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\Lambda(t, r)$ and $R(t, r)$ are the metric functions and N and N^r are the lapse function and the non-vanishing component of the shift vector, respectively.⁵

A static, spherical symmetric space-time describing the cross-flow of two null dust streams (or equivalently an anisotropic fluid) has been found:⁶

$$ds^2 = -2ae^{Z^2} R^{-1}(Z)[dT^2 - R^2(Z)dZ^2] + R^2(Z)d\Omega^2, \quad (2)$$

where T and Z are time and radial coordinates of the fluid particles and

$$-R(Z) = a \left[e^{Z^2} - 2Z \left(B + \int^L e^{x^2} dx \right) \right].$$

Motivated by this exact solution we chose the scalar fields Λ , R , T and Z appearing in the metrics (1) and (2) as the canonical coordinates of the gravity and the matter source. The proper time T of the fluid particles provides the internal time for the colliding radiation fields, whereas the radial coordinate Z gives the Lagrangian coordinate of the fluid particles for constant θ and ϕ .

In order to provide the Hamiltonian description of this model, we perform the Legendre transformation of the Lagrangian

$$S^{2ND}[(^{(4)}g_{ab}, \rho)] = \int d^4x \sqrt{(^{(4)}g_{ab})} \rho (u_a u^a + v_a v^a),$$

describing two non-interacting null dust streams with time-independent energy density ρ , which propagate along the null congruences u^a and v^a . We perform the transformation by decomposing the tangent vectors of the two null congruences with respect to the gradients of the matter variables,

$$u_a = WT_{,a} + RWZ_{,a}, \quad v_a = WT_{,a} - RWZ_{,a}, \quad W = ae^{Z^2} R,$$

and introducing the momenta P and P_Z canonically conjugated to T and Z ,

$$P = \mathcal{G}N^{-1}(T_{,t} - N^r T_{,r}), \quad P_Z = \mathcal{G}R^2 N^{-1}(Z_{,t} - N^r Z_{,r}) \quad \mathcal{G} = 2a\sqrt{g}\rho W^2.$$

The matter Lagrangian can be then rewritten in the "already Hamiltonian" form

$$L^{2ND} = \dot{T}P + \dot{Z}P_Z - NH_{\perp}^{2ND} - N^r H_r^{2ND},$$

where the super-Hamiltonian and supermomentum constraints of the system consisting of the two null dust streams are

$$H_{\perp}^{2ND} = \mathcal{G}^{-1}(P^2 + P_Z^2/R^2) + \mathcal{G}(T'^2 + R^2 Z'^2), \quad H^{2ND} = T'P + Z'P_Z.$$

By eliminating the comoving density ρ from the Hamiltonian constraint and employing that the super-Hamiltonian and the supermomentum constraints of the total system weakly vanish,

$$H_{\perp} := H_{\perp}^G + N_{\perp}^{2ND} \approx 0, \quad H_r := H_r^G + N_r^{2ND} \approx 0, \quad (3)$$

we are able to solve the constraints with respect to the momenta P_T and P_Z . The vacuum constraints $H_{\perp}^G$ and H_r^G are expressed in terms of the preferred canonical variables of spherically symmetric vacuum gravity,⁷ with Λ and its canonical momentum is replaced with the Schwarzschild mass M and its canonical momentum P_M . After solving the constraints with respect to the momenta we can introduce a new set of linearized constraints, equivalent to Eq. (3), in which the momenta of the matter variables are separated from the rest of the canonical data:²

$$H_{\uparrow} := P + h[M, R, T, Z, P_M, P_R] = 0, \quad H_{\uparrow Z} := P_Z + h_Z[M, R, T, Z, P_M, P_R] = 0.$$

The above linearized form of the constraints is advantageous for two reasons. First, the Hamiltonian constraint $H_{\uparrow}$ is resolved with respect to the momentum P canonically conjugated to the internal time T . Second, the new constraints have strongly vanishing Poisson brackets and as such form an Abelian algebra instead of the Dirac algebra of the old constraints.

In the canonical quantization of gravity coupled to the two null dust streams with spherically symmetric geometry the super-Hamiltonian constraint becomes an operator equation on the state functional $\Psi[Z, T, M, R]$ of gravity, restricting the allowed states. Since classically the super-Hamiltonian constraint was resolved with respect to the momentum P , the operator condition leads to the functional Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\delta}{\delta T} \Psi[T, M, R] = h[M, R, T, Z, P_M, P_R] \Psi[T, M, R]. \quad (4)$$

The operator version of the supermomentum constraint $H_{\uparrow Z}$ applied on the state functional ensures that the quantum states are independent of the dust frame Z .⁴ Besides the Hilbert space structure of the solutions to the Eq. (4), the other advantage of the linearized constraints is that their Abelian algebra turns into a true Lie algebra of vacuum gravity. These promising achievements point towards a possible consistent canonical quantization of the presented superposed null dust system.

References

1. P.S. Letelier, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 807 (1980).
2. Zs. Horváth, Z. Kovács, G. Á. Gergely, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 084034 (2006).
3. J. Bičák, P. Hájíček, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 104016 (2003).
4. J.D. Brown, K.V. Kuchař, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 5600 (1995).
5. B.K. Berger, D.M. Chitre, V.E. Moncrief, Y. Nutku, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 3247 (1973).
6. L. Á. Gergely, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 084030 (1998).
7. K.V. Kuchař, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3961 (1994).